UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/586,035	12/19/2006	Adalbert Feltz	14219-119US1 P2004,0032 U	1777	
	7590 10/29/201 ARDSON P.C. (BO)		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022	2		HOBAN, MATTHEW E		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1734		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/29/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	_			
Office Action Summary		935	FELTZ ET AL.				
		r	Art Unit				
	Matthew	E. Hoban	1734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisic after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DATE OF Tons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no emmunication. In statutory period will apply and very ply will, by statute, cause the apples after the mailing date of this control of the	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be timulation will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s)	iled on 17 August 201	0.					
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition	on for allowance excep	t for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 24</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election	requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	·e: a) accepted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any ob	jection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
<u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Goo the attached detailed office de		anica copico net receive	. .				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/13/2006 12/19/2006 10	яені Арріісаціон						

Application/Control Number: 10/586,035 Page 2

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-20 and 24 in the reply filed on 8/17/2010 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions all comprise the same special technical feature. This is not found persuasive because the express statements in the requirement for restriction establishes that the subject matter of the invention does not contain a special technical feature. Thereafter, the claims cannot contain a corresponding special technical feature, as this feature is not present in the claims as presented.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 21-23 and 25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 8/17/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-17 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite as they tend to

Application/Control Number: 10/586,035

Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

describe a singular ceramic material, which can be described as a solid solution as a ceramic comprising multiple different ceramic subcomponents individually. In the art of solid solutions of a perovskite nature it is conventional in the art to describe a solid solution by those subcomponents to which it would relate. In the simplest scenario a solid solution of PZT can be described as x(PbZrO₃) 1-x(PbTiO₃); however the lead titanate and lead zirconate in the solid solution are not identifiable as individual ceramics. Thereafter describing PZT as a ceramic having a formula represented by the above is appropriate, but describing the solid solution as a ceramic comprising both PZ and PT is not, since the ceramic material does not necessarily contain PZ and PT phases, but a solid solution of the 2. Thereafter, it is likewise inappropriate to describe the instantly claimed ceramic as such. It is understood that applicant chooses to use the language to describe the occupancy of the B site with an element such as Sr or Ca, but it is believed that the formula as seen in claim 18 is more appropriate as it shows that the ceramic is a solid solution of several components rather than individual PZT and a cryolite phases. For these reason it is unclear as to what is being claimed.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim contains the variable "Br" in the formula. This should simply be "B". Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,035 Page 4

Art Unit: 1734

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-2, 6, 9, 11, 13, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ushida in EP 0344978.

Regarding Claim 1-2: Ushida teaches a ceramic composition of the formula $Pb_{1-}aM_a(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})_xTi_yZr_zO_3$, wherein a is between 0 and 0.1, x is from 0.05-0.7, y is from 0.25 to 0.5 and z is from 0.05 to 0.7 and M is chosen Sr and Ba. Other oxide components comprise the mixed oxide and can be seen in for example on page 3 of the document. Thereafter this composition could be considered a solid solution (mixed crystal) of PZT-PMN and $M(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O_3$, which is structurally and chemically the same as the composition claimed as a cryolite component $M_4(Mg_{4/3}Nb_{8/3})O_{12}$, where x=1 as the A and B site occupancy is the same in both compositions.

Regarding Claim 6 and 9: Ushida teaches a solid solution (mixed crystal) of PZT-PMN and $M(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O_3$ (M= Sr or Ba), which is structurally and chemically the same as the composition claimed as a cryolite component $M_4(Mg_{4/3}Nb_{8/3})O_{12}$, where x of the instant claims is equal to 1.

Art Unit: 1734

Regarding Claim 11, 13: Ushida teaches a solid solution (mixed crystal) of PZT-PMN and M(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃, wherein PMN is an additional component having a perovskite lattice-type structure.

Regarding Claim 24: The structure of Ushida is free of Potassium and thus must also be free of potassium niobate.

8. Claims 18-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garg in his publication entitled "Effect of net PbO content on mechanical and electromechanical properties of lead zirconate titanate ceramics".

Regarding Claim 18-20: Garg teaches a composition of $P_{1+x}(Z_{0.535}Ti_{0.465})O_3$, where x is varied from -1.7E-2 and +1.8E-2 (See Table 1). This composition does not contain Potassium, Niobium, or a compound of the two.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ushida in EP 0344978.

Please review the above 102 rejection over Ushida.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,035

Art Unit: 1734

Ushida teaches a ternary ferroelectric composition including PZT. The composition is of the formula Pb_{1-a}M_a(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})_xTi_yZr₂O₃, wherein a is between 0 and 0.1, x is from 0.05-0.7, y is from 0.25 to 0.5 and z is from 0.05 to 0.7 and M is chosen Sr and Ba. As the only major component including Titanium and Zirconium in the solid solution is PZT, the ratio of Zr to Ti in this portion would be relative to the amounts of Ti and Zr added to the solid solution. As this range includes a situation where the amounts of Ti and Zr are roughly equal to that of where Zr is in slight excess of Ti, it is deemed that the range taught by Ushida would represent an overlapping range with the composition as claimed. Overlapping ranges have been held to establish a prima facie case of obviousness over the prior art. One of ordinary skill in the art would only need to select a composition from the overlapping portion of the range to arrive at the invention as claimed. See MPEP 2144.05.

Page 6

10. Claims 11 and 14-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ushida in EP 0344978 as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, and further in view of Salto in 2003/0174553.

Ushida teaches a ferroelectric ceramic of the composition $Pb_{1-a}M_a(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})_xTi_yZr_zO_3$, wherein a is between 0 and 0.1, x is from 0.05-0.7, y is from 0.25 to 0.5 and z is from

Art Unit: 1734

0.05 to 0.7 and M is chosen Sr and Ba. Ushida does not teach the addition of certain additives seen in claims 14-17.

However, Salto teaches that the addition of perovskites such as those claimed are conventional in the art of creating a ferroelectric material especially tailored to be incorporated into a semiconductor memory device. Specifically Salto teaches that conventional additives within the art are as follows Pb(B_{1/3} B_{2/3})O₃, Pb(B_{1/2} B_{1/2})O₃ $Pb(B_{1/4} B_{3/4})O_3$, wherein the summation of B components are chosen to have a total valency of +4 and chosen from Li, Cu, Mg, Ni, Zn, Mn, Co, Sn, Fe, Cd, Cu, Sb, Al, Yb, In, Fe, Co, Sc, Y, Sn, Nb, Ta, Bi, W, Te and Re. Thereafter, Salto expressly discloses the use of at least those additives claimed instantly in claims 14-16. In terms of the additives taught in instant claim 17, the use of a combination of components including both Li and one of Nb, Ta, or Sb would necessarily have the same effect on the final composition as the object of the invention is a mixed crystal of the components and not to a ceramic having the original components intact. This situation is also the case for KNbO₃ as K is shown to be a possible dopant on the A site, thereafter the final composition could be described as incorporating potassium niobate dopants when such elements are added to the A site of the perovskite. Thereafter, it would have been obvious to add any of the above components to the ferroelectric composition of Ushida. One of ordinary skill in the art would find that this was obvious based on their conventional nature and would be motivated to add said components based on the fact that Salto teaches that the addition of said components can effectively provide a thin

Application/Control Number: 10/586,035 Page 8

Art Unit: 1734

film of ferroelectric material having superior dielectric characteristics (See Paragraph

235 of Salto).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Hoban whose telephone number is (571)

270-3585. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 10 AM to

6:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Emily M. Le can be reached on 5712720903. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Matthew E Hoban/

Examiner, Art Unit 1734

/EMILY M LE/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art

Unit 1734

Application/Control Number: 10/586,035

Page 9

Art Unit: 1734